

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/577,329	09/577,329 05/24/2000		Walter Wesley Howe	99-009CIP	9634
32127	7590	08/11/2004	EXAMINER -		
VERIZON	CORPOR	ATE SERVICES	GANTT,	GANTT, ALAN T	
C/O CHRIS		NDERSEN DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAILCOD	•	114	2684		
IRVING, 7	TX 75038		DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	, /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/577,329	HOWE, WALTER WESLEY				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alan T. Gantt	2684				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS y statute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.)ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>28 May 2004</u> .					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>12-20</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by t	he Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doct 2. Certified copies of the priority doct 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	uments have been received. uments have been received in Appli e priority documents have been rec Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Stage				
		·					
Attachmen		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) to of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	4) ☐ Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma	mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO) or No(s)/Mail Date		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				



Application/Control Number: 09/577,329

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 5/28/04 have been fully considered. Applicant primarily argues that:
- (a) Koster and Chang cannot be combined with Chang to yield the claim limitation of "obtaining from the data base residing at a home location register, said wireless DN associated with said local access DN".
- (b) Chang teaches accessing a database at a translation server to determine the home location register address associated with the non-geographic telephone number, which is not a database residing at a home location register.

Regarding the arguments, the examiner agrees with argument (b), thus, applicant has overcome the rejection of claim 1. However, the examiner feels that the claim is vague and does not point out the subject matter that the applicant regards as the invention. Its appears that a wireless directory number is found and, from that, a route is determined. The examiner feels that Some crucial, and patentable, step is missing that would give the claim completeness.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.



Application/Control Number: 09/577,329

Art Unit: 2684

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 12-20 are allowed.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 12, a home location register configured to access a database to obtain the wireless DN to utilize to obtain a TLDN allocated to the mobile station, where the VLR serving the wireless DN is operable to a connection to the mobile station using the TLDN via the route that includes the originating switching node and the visited switching node was neither found, suggested, nor made evident by the prior art.

Regarding claim 19, the reasoning applied to claim 12 and additionally the use of the second local access DN being maintained at a second originating node were neither found, suggested, nor made evident by the prior art.

Claims 4, 10, and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 4, the use of two local access directory numbers at two different originating switching nodes and making the association of the two numbers with the wireless DN at the home location register was neither, found, suggested, nor made evident by the prior art.

Regarding claim 10, the use of a temporary local directory number associated with the wireless DN obtained from the HLR in response to a routing request and employing the local access number to forward the received TLDN to the originating switching node was neither found, suggested, nor made evident by the prior art.



Application/Control Number: 09/577,329

Art Unit: 2684

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to Alan Gantt at telephone number (703) 305-0077. The examiner can normally be reached between 9:30 AM and 6 PM within the Eastern Time Zone. The group FAX number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to this application should be directed to the group receptionist at telephone number (703) 305-4700.

Alan T. Gantt

August 7, 2004

NICK CORSARO PATENT EXAMINER